

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,034	09/	09/2003	Jean-Pascal Zambaux	ATMI-658	2051
25559 7:	590 •	09/13/2004		EXAM	INER
ATMI, INC.			GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS		
7 COMMERCE DRIVE DANBURY, CT 06810				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3721	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$' \bigvee \bigvee$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,034	ZAMBAUX, JEAN-PASCAL V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a n ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1905 C.D	. 11, 400 0.0. 210.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an according and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to educate or b) objec	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B) 5) Notice of II 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3721

RESTRICTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of using a bag, classified in class 53, subclass 440.
 - II. Claims 9-13, drawn to a method of making a bag, classified in class 493, subclass 210.
 - III. Claims 14-19 and 23-28, drawn to a bag and a bag kit, classified in class 206, subclass 459.5.
 - IV. Claims 20-22, drawn to bag, classified in class 383, subclass 105.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the process of using a bag (Group I) and the process of making the bag (Group II) have different modes of operation and different functions; the two groups of claims are directed to independent inventions.

Art Unit: 3721

- Inventions III and I are related as product and process of 4. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product as concerns claim 14 such as one in which a solid is stored in the bag or in which the bag is not heated or is heated to a temperature below 253°C; or as concerns claim 23 such as one without packaging materials or instructions or indicia on or inside the packaging materials.
- 5. Inventions IV and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as one without a connector or one in which there are not two separate films used for the bag.

Art Unit: 3721

- 6. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which the bag film is extruded as a tube and cut into individual bags.
- 7. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which the bag edges are coupled and then the connector is inserted into a opening subsequently formed in the coupled edges; or the process can be used to make another and materially different product such as one in which the connector is not comprised of a polymer.
- 8. Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if

Art Unit: 3721

it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the bag of claim 20 does not have to be suitable for heating to at least approximately 253°C. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use without a connector such as wherein the bag has an open top that is use to fill the bag.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group any one of the Groups I-IV is not required for any one of the other Groups I-IV; i.e. each Group has its own distinct search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3721

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gerrity. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, may be contacted. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen F. Gerrity Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

Examiner's Telephone Number: 703-308-1279

Examiner's Work Schedule: Monday-Friday from 5:30 - 2:00

Supervisor's Telephone Number: 703-308-2187 Facsimile Telephone Number: 703-872-9306 Receptionist Telephone Number: 703-308-1148

11 September 2004